

Interpolation and Splines

Squirrel Eiserloh
Director TrueThought LLC

Squirrel@Eiserloh.net Squirrel@TrueThought.com

## Overview

» Averaging and Blending
» Interpolation
» Parametric Equations
» Parametric Curves and Splines including:
(8.) Bezier splines (linear, quadratic, cubic)
(2) Cubic Hermite splines
(3. Catmull-Rom splines
(3) Cardinal splines
© Kochanek-Bartels splines
(3) B-splines

Averaging and Blending

## Averaging and Blending

» First, we start off with the basics.
» I mean, really basic.
» Let's go back to grade school.
» How do you average two numbers together?


## Averaging and Blending

» Let's change that around a bit.

$$
\begin{gathered}
(A+B) / 2 \\
\text { becomes } \\
(.5 * A)+(.5 * B)
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e. "half of $A$, half of $B$ ", or "a blend of $A$ and $B$ "

## Averaging and Blending

» We can, of course, also blend A and B unevenly (with different weights):

$$
(.35 * A)+(.65 * B)
$$


» In this case, we are blending " $35 \%$ of $A$ with $65 \%$ of $B "$.
» Can use any blend weights we want, as long as they add up to 1.0 (100\%).

## Averaging and Blending

» Like making up a bottle of liquid by mixing two different fluids together.
(we always fill the glass 100\%)


## Averaging and Blending

» So if we try to generalize here, we could say:

$$
(\mathbf{s} * A)+(\mathbf{t} * B)
$$

"...where $\boldsymbol{s}$ is "how much of $A$ " we want, and $\mathbf{t}$ is "how much of $B^{\prime}$ " we want
» ...and $\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{t}=1.0 \quad$ (really, $\mathbf{s}$ is just 1-t)
so: $\quad((1-\mathbf{t}) * \mathrm{~A})+(\mathbf{t} * \mathrm{~B})$
Which means we can control the balance of the entire blend by changing just one number: $\mathbf{t}$

## Averaging and Blending

» There are two ways of thinking about this (and a formula for each):
» \#1: "Blend some of $A$ with some of $B$ "

$$
(s * A)+(t * B) \leqslant \text { where } s=1-t
$$

» \#2: "Start with A, and then add some amount of the distance from $A$ to $B$ "

$$
A+t^{*}(B-A)
$$

## Averaging and Blending

» In both cases, the result of our blend is just plain "A" if $\mathbf{t}=0$;
i.e. if we don't want any of B.

$$
(1.00 * \mathbb{A})+(0.00 * B)=\mathbb{A}
$$

or:

$$
A+0.00^{*}(B-A)=\mathbb{A}
$$



## Averaging and Blending

» Likewise, the result of our blend is just plain " $B$ " if $\mathbf{t}=1$; i.e. if we don't want any of $A$.

$$
(0.00 * A)+(1.00 * B)=B
$$

or:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A+1.00^{*}(B-A) & = \\
A+B-A & =B
\end{array}
$$



## Averaging and Blending

» However we choose to think about it, there's a single "knob", called $\mathbf{t}$, that we are tweaking to get the blend of $A$ and $B$ that we want.

Blending Compound Data

## Blending Compound Data

» We can blend more than just numbers.
» Blending 2D and 3D vectors, for example, is a cinch:
» J ust blend each component ( $x, y, z$ ) separately, at the same time.

$$
\mathbf{P}=(\mathrm{s} * A)+(\mathrm{t} * \mathrm{~B}) \leftarrow \text { where } s=1-t
$$

is equivalent to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{x}=\left(s * A_{x}\right)+\left(t * B_{x}\right) \\
& P_{y}=\left(s * A_{y}\right)+\left(t * B_{y}\right) \\
& P_{z}=\left(s * A_{z}\right)+\left(t * B_{z}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Blending Compound Data

(such as Vectors)
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## Blending Compound Data

» Need to be careful, though!
» Not all compound data types will blend correctly with this sort of (blend-thecomponents) approach.
» Examples: Color RGBs, Euler angles (yaw/pitch/roll), Matrices, Quaternions...
...in fact, there are a bunch that won't.

## Blending Compound Data

» Here's an RGB color example:
» If $A$ is $\mathbf{R G B}(\mathbf{2 5 5}, \mathbf{0}, 0)$ - bright red
...and $B$ is $\operatorname{RGB}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2 5 5}, \mathbf{0})$ - bright green
» Blending the two (with $\mathrm{t}=0.5$ ) gives: RGB(127, 127, 0 )
...which is a dull, swampy color. Yuck.

## Blending Compound Data

» What we wanted was this:

...and what we got instead was this:


## Blending Compound Data

» For many compound classes, like RGB, you may need to write your own Interpolate() method that "does the right thing", whatever that may be.
» J im will talk later about what happens when you try to interpolate Euler Angles (yaw/pitch/roll), Matrices, and Quaternions using this simple "naive" approach of blending the components.

## I nterpolation

## Interpolation

» I nterpolation is just changing blend weights over time. Also called "Lerp".
» i.e. Turning the knob (t) progressively, not just setting it to some position.
» Often we crank slowly from $t=0$ to $t=1$.

## Interpolation

» Since games are generally frame-based, we usually have some Update() method that gets called, in which we have to decide what we're supposed to look like at this instant in time.
» There are two main ways of approaching this when we're interpolating:
» \#1: Blend from $A$ to $B$ over the course of several frames (parametric evaluation);
» \#2: Blend one step from wherever-l'm-at now to wherever-I'm-going (numerical integration).

## Interpolation

» Games generally need to use both.
» Most physics tends to use method \#2 (numerical integration). Erin will talk more about this at the end of the day.
» Many other systems, however, use method \#1 (parametric evaluation).
(More on that in a moment)

## I nterpolation

» We use "lerping" all the time, under different names.

For example:
» an Audio crossfade
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## Interpolation

» We use "lerping" all the time, under different names.

For example:
» an Audio crossfade
» fading up lights
» or this cheesy
PowerPoint effect.



## Interpolation

Basically, whenever we do any sort of blend over time, we're lerping.

# "That's my cue to go get a margarita." <br> -Squirrel's wife 

## Implicit Equations

## Sweetness...

I loves me some math!

## Implicit Equations



Implicit equations define what is, and isn't, included in a set of points (a "locus").

## Implicit Equations



If the equation is TRUE for some $x$ and $y$, then the point $(x, y)$ is included on the line.

## I mplicit Equations



If the equation is FALSE for some $x$ and $y$, then the point $(x, y)$ is NOT included on the line.

## I mplicit Equations



Here, the equation $X^{2}+Y^{2}=25$ defines a "locus" of all the points within 5 units of the origin.

## Implicit Equations



If the equation is TRUE for some $x$ and $y$, then the point $(x, y)$ is included on the circle.

## Implicit Equations



If the equation is FALSE for some $x$ and $y$, then the point $(x, y)$ is NOT included on the circle.

## Parametric Equations

» A parametric equation is one that has been rewritten so that it has one clear "input" parameter (variable) that everything else is based in terms of.
" In other words, a parametric equation is basically anything you can hook up to a single knob. It's a formula that you can feed in a single number (the "knob" value, " t ", usually from 0 to 1 ), and the formula gives back the appropriate value for that particular " t ".

Think of it as a function that takes a float and returns... whatever (a position, a color, an orientation, etc.):
someComplexData ParametricEquation( float t );

## Parametric Equations

» Essentially:
$P(t)=$ some formula with " t " in it
...as t changes, P changes
( $P$ depends upon $t$ )
$P(t)$ can return any kind of value; whatever we want to interpolate, for instance.
(4. Position (2D, 3D, etc.)
(8) Orientation
(4. Scale
(8) Alpha
(2) etc.

## Parametric Equations



Example: $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ is a 2D position... Pick some value of $t$, plug it in, see where $P$ is!
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## Parametric Equations



Example: $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ is a 2D position... Pick some value of $t$, plug it in, see where $P$ is!

## Parametric Curves

## Parametric Curves



Parametric curves are curves that are defined using parametric equations.

## Parametric Curves



Here's the basic idea:

We go from $t=0$ at $A$ (start) to $t=1$ at $B$ (end)

## Parametric Curves



Set the knob to 0, and crank it towards 1

## Parametric Curves



As we turn the knob, we keep plugging the latest t into the curve equation to find out where $P$ is now

## Parametric Curves



Note: All parametric curves are directional; i.e. they have a start \& end, a forward \& backward

## Parametric Curves



So that's the basic idea.
Now how do we actually do it?

## Bezier Curves

## Linear Bezier Curves

Bezier curves are the easiest kind to understand.

The simplest kind of Bezier curves are
Linear Bezier curves.

They're so simple, they're not even curvy!

## Linear Bezier Curves



$$
P=((1-t) * A)+(t * B) \quad / / \text { weighted average }
$$

or, as / prefer to write it:

$$
\mathrm{P}=(\mathbf{s} * \mathrm{~A})+(\mathrm{t} * \mathrm{~B}) \quad \leftarrow \text { where } \boldsymbol{s}=1-t
$$

## Linear Bezier Curves



$$
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or, as I prefer to write it:

$$
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## Linear Bezier Curves



$$
P=((1-t) * A)+(t * B) \quad / / \text { weighted average }
$$

or, as / prefer to write it:

$$
\mathrm{P}=(\mathbf{s} * \mathrm{~A})+(\mathrm{t} * \mathrm{~B}) \quad \leftarrow \text { where } \boldsymbol{s}=1-t
$$

## Linear Bezier Curves



So, for $\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ ( $75 \%$ of the way from $A$ to $B$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}=((\mathbf{1 - t}) * \mathrm{~A})+(\mathbf{t} * \mathrm{~B}) \\
& \text { or } \\
& \mathrm{P}=(.25 * \mathrm{~A})+(.75 * \mathrm{~B})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linear Bezier Curves



So, for $\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{0 . 7 5}$ ( $75 \%$ of the way from $A$ to $B$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}=((\mathbf{1 - t}) * \mathrm{~A})+(\mathbf{t} * \mathrm{~B}) \\
& \text { or } \\
& \mathrm{P}=(.25 * \mathrm{~A})+(.75 * \mathrm{~B})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linear Bezier Curves

## $A_{\bullet} P_{0}$

$t=0$


Here it is in motion (thanks, internet!)

Quadratic Bezier Curves

## Quadratic Bezier Curves

A Quadratic Bezier curve is just a blend of two Linear Bezier curves.

The word "quadratic" means that if we sniff around the math long enough, we'll see $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{2}}$. (In our Linear Beziers we saw $\mathbf{t}$ and $\mathbf{1 - t}$, but never $\mathbf{t}^{2}$ ).

## Quadratic Bezier Curves


» Three control points: $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C
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## Quadratic Bezier Curves


» Three control points: $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C
» Two different Linear Beziers: $A B$ and $B C$
» Instead of " $P$ ", using " $E$ " for $A B$ and " $F$ " for $B C$

## Quadratic Bezier Curves


» Interpolate $E$ along $A B$ as we turn the knob
» Interpolate F along BC as we turn the knob
» Move E and F simultaneously - only one "t"!
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## Quadratic Bezier Curves


» Now let's turn the knob again...
(from $t=0$ to $t=1$ )
but draw a line between $E$ and $F$ as they move.
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» Now let's turn the knob again...
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## Quadratic Bezier Curves


» Now let's turn the knob again...
(from $t=0$ to $t=1$ )
but draw a line between $E$ and $F$ as they move.

## Quadratic Bezier Curves


» This time, we'll also interpolate $\mathbf{P}$ from E to F ...using the same " t " as E and F themselves
» Watch where $\mathbf{P}$ goes!
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## Quadratic Bezier Curves


» This time, we'll also interpolate $\mathbf{P}$ from $E$ to $F$ ...using the same " t " as E and F themselves
» Watch where $\mathbf{P}$ goes!

## Quadratic Bezier Curves


» Note that mathematicians use
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ instead of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$
» I will keep using $A, B, C$ here for simplicity

## Quadratic Bezier Curves


» We know $P$ starts at $A$, and ends at $C$
» It is clearly influenced by B...
...but it never actually touches B

## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» $B$ is a guide point of this curve; drag it around to change the curve's contour.
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## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» $B$ is a guide point of this curve; drag it around to change the curve's contour.


## Quadratic Bezier Curves


» By the way, this is also that thing you were drawing in junior high when you were bored.

## Quadratic Bezier Curves


» By the way, this is also that thing you were drawing in junior high when you were bored.

## Quadratic Bezier Curves


» BONUS: This is also how they make True Type Fonts look nice and curvy.

## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» Remember:

A Quadratic Bezier curve is just a blend of two Linear Bezier curves.

So the math is still pretty simple.
(J ust a blend of two Linear Bezier equations.)

## Quadratic Bezier Curves


» $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{t})=\left(\mathrm{s}^{*} \mathrm{~A}\right)+(\mathrm{t} * \mathrm{~B}) \leqslant$ where $\boldsymbol{s}=1-t$
» $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{t})=(\mathrm{s} * \mathrm{~B})+(\mathrm{t} * \mathrm{C})$
» $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=(\mathrm{s} * \mathrm{E})+(\mathrm{t} * \mathrm{~F}) \leftarrow$ technically $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{t})$ and $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{t})$ here

## Quadratic Bezier Curves


> $E(t)=s A+t B$
$\leftarrow$ where $s=1-t$
» $F(t)=s B+t C$
» $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{sE}+\mathrm{tF}$
$\leftarrow$ technically $E(t)$ and $F(t)$ here

## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» Hold on! You said "quadratic" meant we'd see a $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{2}}$ in there somewhere.
» $E(t)=s A+t B$
» $F(t)=s B+t C$
» $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{sE}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{tF}(\mathrm{t})$
» $P(t)$ is an interpolation from $E(t)$ to $F(t)$
» When you plug the $E(t)$ and $F(t)$ equations into the $P(t)$ equation, you get...

## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» One equation to rule them all:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{sE}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{tF}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& \text { or } \\
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{~s} \mathbf{A}+\mathrm{tB})+\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{sB}+\mathrm{t} \mathbf{C}) \\
& \quad \text { or } \\
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\left(\mathrm{s}^{2}\right) \mathrm{A}+(\mathrm{st}) \mathrm{B}+(\mathrm{st}) \mathrm{B}+\left(\mathrm{t}^{2}\right) \mathrm{C} \\
& \text { or } \\
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\left(\mathrm{s}^{2}\right) \mathrm{A}+2(\mathrm{st}) \mathrm{B}+\left(\mathrm{t}^{2}\right) \mathrm{C} \\
& \left(\text { BTW, there's our "quadratic" } \mathbf{t}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» What if $t=O$ ? (at the start of the curve)
so then... $\quad s=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(t)=\left(s^{2}\right) A+2(s t) B+\left(t^{2}\right) C \\
\text { becomes }
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { b(t) }=\left(1^{2}\right) A+2\left(1^{*} 0\right) B+\left(0^{2}\right) C \\
\text { becomes } \\
P(t)=(1) A+2(0) B+(0) C \\
\text { becomes } \\
P(t)=A
\end{gathered}
$$

## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» What if $t=1$ ? (at the end of the curve)
so then... $s=0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(t)=\left(s^{2}\right) A+2(s t) B+\left(t^{2}\right) C \\
\text { becomes } \\
P(t)=\left(0^{2}\right) A+2\left(0^{*} 1\right) B+\left(1^{2}\right) C \\
\text { becomes } \\
P(t)=(0) A+2(0) B+(1) C \\
\text { becomes } \\
P(t)=C
\end{gathered}
$$

## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» What if $t=0.5$ ? (halfway through the curve) so then... $s=0.5$ also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(t)=\left(s^{2}\right) A+2(s t) B+\left(t^{2}\right) C \\
& \text { becomes } \\
& P(t)=\left(0.5^{2}\right) A+2\left(0.5^{*} 0.5\right) B+\left(0.5^{2}\right) C \\
& \text { becomes } \\
& P(t)=(0.25) A+2(0.25) B+(.25) C \\
& \text { becomes } \\
& P(t)= .25 A+.50 B+.25 C
\end{aligned}
$$

## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» If we say $\mathbf{M}$ is the midpoint of the line AC...
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## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» And $\mathbf{H}$ is the halfway point on the curve (where $t=0.5$ )


## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» Then $\mathbf{H}$ is also halfway from $\mathbf{M}$ to $\mathbf{B}$


## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» So, let's say that we'd rather drag the halfway point (H) around than B.
(maybe because $\mathbf{H}$ is on the curve itself)


## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» So now we know $\mathbf{H}$, but not B . (and we also know $A$ and $C$ )


## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» Start by computing $\mathbf{M}$ (midpoint of AC ):

$$
M=.5 A+.5 C
$$



## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» Compute MH (H - M)


## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» Add $\mathbf{M H}$ to $\mathbf{H}$ to get B

$$
B=\mathbf{H}+\mathbf{M H} \quad(\text { or } 2 \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{M})
$$



## Quadratic Bezier Curves

» This is what programs like Visio do when you drag curve points, BTW.


## Non-uniformity

» Be careful: most curves are not uniform; that is, they have variable "density" or "speed" throughout them.


## Cubic Bezier Curves

## Cubic Bezier Curves

A Cubic Bezier curve is just a blend of two Quadratic Bezier curves.

The word "cubic" means that if we sniff around the math long enough, we'll see $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{3}}$. (In our Linear Beziers we saw $\mathbf{t}$; in our Quadratics we saw $\mathbf{t}^{2}$ ).

## Cubic Bezier Curves


» Four control points: A, B, C, and D
» 2 different Quadratic Beziers: $A B C$ and $B C D$
» 3 different Linear Beziers: $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{BC}$, and CD

## Cubic Bezier Curves


» As we turn the knob (one knob, one " t " for everyone):

Interpolate E along AB Interpolate $\mathbf{F}$ along BC Interpolate G along CD
// all three lerp simultaneously
// all three lerp simultaneously
// all three lerp simultaneously
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## Cubic Bezier Curves


» Also:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Interpolate } \mathbf{Q} \text { along EF } & \text { // lerp simultaneously with E,F,G } \\
\text { Interpolate R along FG } & \text { // lerp simultaneously with E,F,G }
\end{array}
$$
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» Also:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Interpolate } \mathbf{Q} \text { along EF } & \text { // lerp simultaneously with E,F,G } \\
\text { Interpolate R along FG } & \text { // lerp simultaneously with E,F,G }
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## Cubic Bezier Curves


» And finally:
Interpolate $\mathbf{P}$ along QR
(simultaneously with E,F,G,Q,R)
» Again, watch where $\mathbf{P}$ goes!
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» And finally:
Interpolate $\mathbf{P}$ along QR
(simultaneously with E,F,G,Q,R)
» Again, watch where $\mathbf{P}$ goes!

## Cubic Bezier Curves



## Cubic Bezier Curves

» Remember:

# A Cubic Bezier curve is just a blend of two Quadratic Bezier curves. 

Which are just a blend of $\mathbf{3}$ Linear Bezier curves.

So the math is still not too bad.
(A blend of blends of Linear Bezier equations.)

## Cubic Bezier Curves



$$
\begin{aligned}
& » \mathbf{E}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{s} \mathbf{A}+\mathrm{tB} \quad \leftarrow \text { where } \boldsymbol{s}=1-\mathrm{t} \\
& » \mathbf{F}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{s} \mathbf{B}+\mathrm{t} \mathbf{C} \\
& » \mathbf{G}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{s} \mathbf{C}+\mathrm{tD}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cubic Bezier Curves


» And then $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ interpolate those results...
» $\mathbf{Q}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{s} \mathbf{E}+\mathrm{tF}$
» $\mathbf{R}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{sF}+\mathrm{t} \mathbf{G}$

## Cubic Bezier Curves


» And lastly $\mathbf{P}$ interpolates from $\mathbf{Q}$ to $\mathbf{R}$

$$
\gg \mathbf{P}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{s} \mathbf{Q}+\mathrm{tR}
$$

## Cubic Bezier Curves

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { » } E(t)=s \boldsymbol{A}+t \boldsymbol{B} \quad / / \text { Linear Bezier (blend of } A \text { and } B \text { ) } \\
& \text { » } F(t)=s B+t \mathbf{C} \quad / / \text { Linear Bezier (blend of } B \text { and } C \text { ) } \\
& \text { » } G(t)=s C+t D \quad / / \text { Linear Bezier (blend of } C \text { and } D \text { ) } \\
& \text { » } \mathbf{Q}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{sE}+\mathrm{tF} \quad / / \text { Quadratic Bezier (blend of } \mathrm{E} \text { and } \mathrm{F} \text { ) } \\
& \text { » } \mathbf{R}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{sF}+\mathrm{tG} \quad / / \text { Quadratic Bezier (blend of } \mathrm{F} \text { and } \mathrm{G} \text { ) } \\
& \text { » } \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{s} \mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{tR} \text { // Cubic Bezier (blend of } \mathrm{Q} \text { and } \mathrm{R} \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
$$

» Okay! So let's combine these all together...

## Cubic Bezier Curves

» Do some hand-waving mathemagic here...

## ...and we get one equation to rule them all:

$$
\mathbf{P}(t)=\left(s^{3}\right) A+3\left(s^{2} t\right) B+3\left(s t^{2}\right) C+\left(t^{3}\right) D
$$

(BTW, there's our "cubic" $\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{3}}$ )

## Cubic Bezier Curves

» Let's compare the three Bezier equations (Linear, Quadratic, Cubic):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{P ( t )}=(\mathrm{s}) A+(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{B} \\
& \mathbf{P ( t )}=\left(\mathrm{s}^{2}\right) A+2(\mathrm{st}) B+\left(\mathrm{t}^{2}\right) C \\
& \mathbf{P ( t )}=\left(\mathrm{s}^{3}\right) A+3\left(\mathbf{s}^{2} t\right) B+3\left(s t^{2}\right) C+\left(t^{3}\right) D
\end{aligned}
$$

» There's some nice symmetry here...

## Cubic Bezier Curves

» Write in all of the numeric coefficients...
» Express each term as powers of $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{t}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=1\left(\mathrm{~s}^{1} \mathrm{t}^{0}\right) A+1\left(\mathrm{~s}^{0} \mathrm{t}^{\mathbf{1}}\right) B \\
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=1\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{t}^{0}\right) A+2\left(\mathrm{~s}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{t}^{\mathbf{1}}\right) B+1\left(\mathrm{~s}^{0} \mathrm{t}^{2}\right) \mathrm{C} \\
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=1\left(\mathrm{~s}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{t}^{0}\right) A+3\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{t}^{\mathbf{1}}\right) B+3\left(\mathrm{~s}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{t}^{2}\right) C+1\left(\mathrm{~s}^{0} \mathrm{t}^{\mathbf{3}}\right) \mathrm{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cubic Bezier Curves

» Write in all of the numeric coefficients...
» Express each term as powers of $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{t}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(t)=\mathbb{1}\left(\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{t}^{0}\right) \mathbf{A}+\mathbb{1}\left(\mathbf{s}^{\left.\mathbf{0} \mathrm{t}^{1}\right) \mathbf{B}}\right. \\
& P(\mathrm{t})=\mathbb{1}\left(\mathbf{s}^{2} \mathrm{t}^{0}\right) \mathbf{A}+\mathbb{2}\left(\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{1} \mathrm{t}^{1}}\right) \mathbf{B}+\mathbb{1}\left(\mathbf{s}^{0} \mathrm{t}^{2}\right) \mathbf{C} \\
& P(\mathrm{t})=\mathbb{1}\left(\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{t}^{0}\right) \mathbf{A}+3\left(\mathbf{s}^{\left.\mathbf{2} \mathrm{t}^{1}\right) \mathbf{B}+3\left(\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{t}^{2}\right) \mathbf{C}+\mathbb{1}\left(\mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{0}} \mathrm{t}^{3}\right) \mathbf{D}}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

» Note: "s" exponents count down

## Cubic Bezier Curves

» Write in all of the numeric coefficients...
» Express each term as powers of $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{t}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\mathbb{1}\left(\mathrm{s}^{1} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{0}}\right) \mathbf{A}+\mathbb{1}\left(\mathrm{s}^{0} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{1}}\right) \mathbf{B} \\
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\mathbb{1}\left(\mathrm{s}^{2} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{0}}\right) \mathbf{A}+\mathbb{1}\left(\mathrm{s}^{1} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{1}}\right) \mathbf{B}+\mathbb{1}\left(\mathrm{s}^{0} \mathrm{t}^{\mathbf{2}}\right) \mathbf{C} \\
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\mathbb{1}\left(\mathrm{s}^{3} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{0}}\right) \mathbf{A}+3\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{1}}\right) \mathbf{B}+3\left(\mathrm{~s}^{1} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{2}}\right) \mathbf{C}+\mathbb{1}\left(\mathrm{s}^{0} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{3}}\right) \mathbf{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

» Note: "s" exponents count down
» Note: "t" exponents count up

## Cubic Bezier Curves

» Write in all of the numeric co
» Express each term as pols $1\left(s^{1}+0\right) A+1\left(s^{0+1}\right) B$
$P(t)=\mathbf{1}\left(s^{2} t^{0}\right) A+\mathbf{2}\left(s^{1} t^{1}\right) B+\mathbf{1}\left(s^{0} t^{2}\right) C$
$P(t)=\mathbf{1}\left(s^{3} t^{0}\right) \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{3}\left(s^{2} t^{1}\right) \mathbf{B}+\mathbf{3}\left(s^{1} t^{2}\right) \mathbf{C}+\mathbf{1}\left(s^{0} t^{3}\right) \mathbf{D}$
» Note: numeric coefficients are from Pascal's Triangle...

## Cubic Bezier Curves

» What if $t=0.5$ ? (halfway through the curve) so then... $s=0.5$ also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(t)=\left(s^{3}\right) A+3\left(s^{2} t\right) B+3\left(s t^{2}\right) C+\left(t^{3}\right) D \\
& \text { becomes } \\
& P(t)=\left(.5^{3}\right) A+3\left(.5^{2 *} .5\right) B+3\left(.5^{*} .5^{2}\right) C+\left(.5^{3}\right) D \\
& \text { becomes } \\
& P(t)=(.125) A+3(.125) B+3(.125) C+(.125) D \\
& \text { becomes } \\
& P(t)=.125 A+.375 B+.375 C+.125 D
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cubic Bezier Curves


» Cubic Bezier Curves can also be "S-shaped", if their control points are "twisted" as pictured here.

## Cubic Bezier Curves


» Cubic Bezier Curves can also be "S-shaped", if their control points are "twisted" as pictured here.

## Cubic Bezier Curves


» They can also loop back around in extreme cases.

## Cubic Bezier Curves


» They can also loop back around in extreme cases.

## Cubic Bezier Curves

Seen in lots of places:
» Photoshop
» GIMP
» PostScript
» Flash
» AfterEffects
» 3DS Max
» Metafont
» Understable Disc Golf flight path, from above


## Splines

## Splines

» Okay, enough of Curves already.
» So... what's a Spline?

## Splines

A spline is a chain of curves joined end-to-end.
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A spline is a chain of curves joined end-to-end.


## Splines

A spline is a chain of curves joined end-to-end.


## Splines

A spline is a chain of curves joined end-to-end.


## Splines

## » Curve end/start points (welds) are knots



## Splines

» Think of two different ts:
spline's $\mathbf{t}$ : Zero at start of spline, keeps increasing until the end of the spline chain
local curve's $\mathbf{t}$ : Resets to 0 at start of each curve (at each knot).
» Conventionally, the local curve's $t$ is fmod( spline_t, 1.0 )

## Splines

For a spline of 4 curve-pieces:
» Interpolate spline_t from 0.0 to 4.0
" If spline_t is 2.67, then we are: $67 \%$ through this curve (local_t = .67) In the third curve section ( $0,1, \mathbf{2}, 3$ )
» Plug local_t into third curve equation

## Splines

» Interpolating spline_t from 0.0 to 4.0...
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## Splines

» Interpolating spline_t from 0.0 to 4.0...


## Splines

» Interpolating spline_t from 0.0 to 4.0...


## Splines

» Interpolating spline_t from 0.0 to 4.0...


## Splines

» Interpolating spline_t from 0.0 to 4.0...


## Quadratic Bezier Splines

» This spline is a quadratic Bezier spline, since it is made out of quadratic Bezier curves

## Continuity


» Good continuity ( $\mathrm{C}^{1}$ ); » Poor continuity ( $\mathrm{C}^{0}$ ); connected and aligned connected but not aligned

## Continuity


» To ensure good continuity ( $C^{1}$ ), make $B C$ of first curve colinear (in line with) AB of second curve. (derivative is continuous across entire spline)

## Continuity


» Excellent continuity $\left(\mathrm{C}^{2}\right)$ is when speed/density matches on either side of each knot.
(second derivative is continuous across entire spline)

## Cubic Bezier Splines

» We can build a cubic Bezier spline instead by using cubic Bezier curves.
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» We can build a cubic Bezier spline instead by using cubic Bezier curves.


## Cubic Bezier Splines

» We can build a cubic Bezier spline instead by using cubic Bezier curves.


## Cubic Hermite Splines

## Cubic Hermite Splines

» A cubic Hermite spline is very similar to a cubic Bezier spline.


## Cubic Hermite Splines

» However, we do not specify the $B$ and $C$ guide points.
» Instead, we give the velocity at point $\mathbb{A}$ (as U), and the velocity at $D$ (as $V$ ) for each cubic Hermite curve.


Cubic Hermite Splines
» To ensure connectedness ( $\mathrm{C}^{0}$ ), D from curve \#0 is again welded on top of $A$ from curve \#1 (at a knot).



## Cubic Hermite Splines

» For best continuity $\left(C^{2}\right)$, velocity into $D(V)$ must match direction and magnitude for the next curve's $A(U)$.
(Hermite splines usually do match velocity magnitudes)


## Cubic Hermite Splines

» Hermite curves, and Hermite splines, are also parametric and work basically the same way as Bezier curves: plug in " t " and go!
» The formula for cubic Hermite curve is:

$$
\mathbf{P}(t)=s^{2}(1+2 t) A+t^{2}(1+2 s) D+s^{2} t U+s t^{2} V
$$

## Cubic Hermite Splines

» Cubic Hermite and Bezier curves can be converted back and forth.
» To convert from cubic Hermite to Bezier:
$B=A+(U / 3)$
$C=D-(V / 3)$
» To convert from cubic Bezier to Hermite:
$U=3(B-A)$
$V=3(D-C)$

Catmull-Rom Splines

## Catmull-Rom Splines

» A Catmull-Rom spline is just a cubic Hermite spline with special values chosen for the velocities at the start ( $\mathbb{U}$ ) and end (V) points of each section.
» You can also think of Catmull-Rom not as a type of spline, but as a technique for building cubic Hermite splines.
» Best application: curve-pathing through points

## Catmull-Rom Splines

» Start with a series of points (spline start, spline end, and interior knots)
(6)
(0)
(2)

> (1)
(3)

## Catmull-Rom Splines

» 1. Assume $\mathbb{U}$ and V velocities are zero at start and end of spline (points 0 and 6 here).
(6)
(0)
(2)
(1)
(3)
(4)

## Catmull-Rom Splines

» 2. Compute a vector from point 0 to point 2. $\left(\mathrm{Vec}_{\text {o_to_2 }}=P_{2}-P_{0}\right)$
(6)

(1)
(3)
(4)

## Catmull-Rom Splines

» That will be our tangent for point 1.

(3)
(4)

WLLHLEDCOHAGOM

## Catmull-Rom Splines

» 3 . Set the velocity for point 1 to be $1 / 2$ of that.

(6)
(3)
(4)

## Catmull-Rom Splines

" Now we have set positions 0 and 1, and velocities at points 0 and 1 . Hermite curve!
(6)

(3)
(4)

## Catmull-Rom Splines

»4. Compute a vector from point 1 to point 3. $\left(\mathrm{Vec}_{1_{1} \text { to } 3}=\mathrm{P}_{3}-\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$
(6)

(4)

## Catmull-Rom Splines

» That will be our tangent for point 2.

(4)

## Catmull-Rom Splines

» 5 . Set the velocity for point 2 to be $1 / 2$ of that.


## Catmull-Rom Splines

» Now we have set positions and velocities for points 0,1 , and 2. We have a Hermite spline!

(6)
(4)

## Catmull-Rom Splines

» Repeat the process to compute velocity at point 3.


## Catmull-Rom Splines

» Repeat the process to compute velocity at point 3.


## Catmull-Rom Splines

» And at point 4.
©


## Catmull-Rom Splines

» And at point 4.
(6)


## Catmull-Rom Splines

» Compute velocity for point 5.


## Catmull-Rom Splines

» Compute velocity for point 5.


## Catmull-Rom Splines

» We already set the velocity for point 6 to be zero, so we can close out the spline.


## Catmull-Rom Splines

» And voila! A Catmull-Rom (Hermite) spline.


## Catmull-Rom Splines

Here's the math for a Catmull-Rom Spline:
» Place knots where you want them ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}$, etc.)
» Position at the Nth point is $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}$
» Velocity at the Nth point is $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N}}$
» $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N}}=\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}+1}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}-1}\right) / 2$
" i.e. Velocity at point $P$ is half of [the vector pointing from the previous point to the next point].

## Cardinal Splines

## Cardinal Splines

» Same as a Catmull-Rom spline, but with an extra parameter: Tension.
» Tension can be set from 0 to 1 .
» A tension of 0 is just a Catmull-Rom spline.
» Increasing tension causes the velocities at all points in the spline to be scaled down.

## Cardinal Splines

» So here is a Cardinal spline with tension=0 (same as a Catmull-Rom spline)


## Cardinal Splines

» So here is a Cardinal spline with tension=. 5 (velocities at points are $1 / 2$ of the Catmull-Rom)


## Cardinal Splines

" And here is a Cardinal spline with tension=1 (velocities at all points are zero)


## Cardinal Splines

Here's the math for a Cardinal Spline:
» Place knots where you want them (A, D, etc.)
" Position at the Nth point is $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}$
" Velocity at the Nth point is $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N}}$
" $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N}}=(1-$ tension $)\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}+1}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}-1}\right) / 2$
» i.e. Velocity at point $P$ is some fraction of half of [the vector pointing from the previous point to the next point].
» i.e. Same as Catmull-Rom, but $V_{N}$ gets scaled down because of the ( 1 - tension) multiply.

## Other Spline Types

## Kochanek-Bartels Splines

» Same as a Cardinal spline (includes Tension), but with two extra tweaks (usually set on the entire spline):

Bias (from -1 to +1 ):
© A zero bias leaves the velocity vector alone

- A positive bias rotates the velocity vector to be more aligned with the point BEFORE this point
$\otimes$ A negative bias rotates the velocity vector to be more aligned with the point AFTER this point

Continuity (from -1 to +1 ):
(8) A zero continuity leaves the velocity vector alone
© ${ }^{\text {A }}$ A positive continuity "poofs out" the corners

* ${ }^{\text {A }}$ A negative continuity "sucks in / squares off" corners


## B-Splines

» Stands for "basis spline".
» J ust a generalization of Bezier splines.
» The basic idea:
At any given time, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ is a weightedaverage blend of $2,3,4$, or more points in its neighborhood.
» Equations are usually given in terms of the blend weights for each of the nearby points based on where $\mathbf{t}$ is at.

## Curved Surfaces

» Way beyond the scope of this talk, but basically you can criss-cross splines and form 2d curved surfaces.


## Thanks!

# Feel free to contact me: 

## Squirrel Eiserloh

Director
TrueThought LLC

Squirrel@Eiserloh.net
Squirrel@TrueThought.com

